

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number 10/667,456-Conf. #9475 Filing Date September 23, 2003 First Named Inventor Kouji TOISHI Art Unit 3641 **Examiner Name** K. C. Egwim Attorney Docket Number 2185-0708P

Total Number of Pages in This Submission		3	Attorney Do		2185-0708P	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Trans	mittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers				Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply		Petition				Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application				Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer				Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
Express Abandonment Request		X Request for Refund				Letter Responding to USPTO Communication of November 15,
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)				2006
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landscape Table on CD			CD	
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks				
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
					-	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name	BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP					
Signature						
Printed name	Andrew D. Meikle					
Date December 14, 2006				Reg. No.	32,868	



Docket No.: 2185-0708P

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Kouji TOISHI et al.

Application No.: 10/667,456 Confirmation No.: 9475

Filed: September 23, 2003 Art Unit: 3641

For: A POSITIVE RESIST COMPOSITION Examiner: K. C. Egwim

LETTER RESPONDING TO USPTO COMMUNICATION OF NOVEMBER 15, 2006

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the USPTO Communication dated November 15, 2006, Applicant today files a Divisional Application. The Communication refused to accept the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed October 20, 2006 and stated that all of the elected subject matter had been deleted from the claims. This was, in fact, not true since the pending claims in the RCE recited Formula (7) which falls completely within the scope of previously elected Formula (2). The Examiner has refused to change his position, despite this. In order to expedite prosecution, Applicant has now filed a Divisional Application with this Letter.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP ADM/jg

Application No.: 10/667,456 Docket No.: 2185-0708P

If any questions arise, regarding this matter, please contact the undersigned at the phone number listed below.

Dated: December 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

2

Attorney for Applicant

ADM/jg